Mathematical Logic First Order Logic and Propositinal Logic

Luciano Serafini

FBK-IRST, Trento, Italy

November 12, 2014

If we are interested in representing facts on a finite domain that contains n elements we can use the following theorem:

Theorem

The formula

$$\phi_{|\Delta|=n} = \exists x_1, \dots, x_n \left(\bigwedge_{i \neq j=1}^n x_i \neq x_j \land \forall x \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i = x \right) \right)$$

is true in $\mathcal{I} = \langle \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, {}^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle$ if and only if $|\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}| = n$, i.e., the cardinality of Δ is equal to n, i.e., $\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ contains exactly n elements.

Luciano Serafini Mathematical Logic	Luciano Serafini Mathematical Logic
Finite domain	Finite domain, with names for every element
Proof. We show that if $\mathcal{I} \models \phi_n$ then $ \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} = n$ ($\mathcal{I} \models \phi_n$ then there are $d_1, \ldots, d_n \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ s.t. ($\mathcal{I} \models \bigwedge_{n\neq j-1}^n x_n \neq x_j \land \forall x (\bigvee_{n-1}^n x_n = x) [a[x_1 := d_1, \ldots, x_n := d_n]]$	Unique Name Assumption (UNA) Is the assumption under which the language contains a name for each element of the domain, i.e., the language contains the constant $c_1,, c_n$, and each constant is the name of one and only one domain element.
• $T \models \bigwedge_{i,j=1}^{n} i_i \neq i_j k [a_i := d_1, \dots, x_n := d_n]$ • From 3 we have that for all $1 \le i \ne j \le n$, $T \models x_j \ne x_j [a_j i_i := d, x_j = d_j]$ • this implies that $d_i \ne d_j$ for $1 \le i \ne j \le n$. • since $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \Delta^T$ we have that $ \Delta^T \ge n$ • from 2 we have $T \models \forall x_i (\bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i = x) [a_i (x_i := d_1, \dots, x_n := d_n]]$	Theorem The formula $\phi_{\Delta=\{c_1,,c_n\}} = \bigwedge_{i\neq j=1}^n c_i \neq c_j \land \forall x \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^n c_i = x\right)$
• the implies that for any $d \in \Delta^{\mathcal{X}}$, $\mathcal{I} \models \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} = x[a x_{i} := d_{1}, \dots, x_{n} := d_{n}, x := d]]$ • which implies that for some $i, i \models x_{i} = x[a x_{i} := d_{i}, x = d]]$, i.e., $d_{i} = d$ for some $1 \le i \le n$. • Since this is true for all $d \in \Delta^{\mathcal{X}}$, then $ \Delta^{\mathcal{X}} \le n$.	$\phi_{\Delta=\{c_1,,c_n\}}$ is also called Unique Name Assumption. Proof. The proof is similar to the one of the previous theorem. Try it by
Luciano Serafini Mathematical Logic	exercise.

Finite domain - Grounding

Under the hypothesis of finite domain with a constant name for every elements, First order formulas can be propositionalized, aka grounded as follows:

$$\phi_{\Delta=\{c_1,\ldots,c_n\}} \models \forall x \phi(x) \equiv \phi(c_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi(c_n)$$
(1)

$$\phi_{\Delta=\{c_1,\ldots,c_n\}} \models \exists x \phi(x) \equiv \phi(c_1) \lor \cdots \lor \phi(c_n)$$
(2)

Generalizing:

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\Delta=\{\mathbf{c}_1,\dots,\mathbf{c}_n\}} &\models \forall \mathbf{x}_1\dots\mathbf{x}_k \phi(\mathbf{x}_1,\dots,\mathbf{x}_k) \equiv \bigwedge_{\substack{c_1,\dots,c_n \\ (c_1,\dots,c_n)}} \phi(\mathbf{c}_1,\dots,\mathbf{c}_k) \ (3) \\ \phi_{\Delta=\{\mathbf{c}_1,\dots,\mathbf{c}_n\}} &\models \exists \mathbf{x}_1\dots\mathbf{x}_k \phi(\mathbf{x}_1,\dots,\mathbf{x}_k) \equiv \bigvee_{\substack{c_1,\dots,c_n \\ (c_1,\dots,c_n)}} \phi(\mathbf{c}_1,\dots,\mathbf{c}_k) \ (4) \end{split}$$

The assumption that states that a predicate P is true only for a finite set of objects for which the language contains a name, can be formalized by the following formulas:

$$\forall x (P(x) \equiv x = c_1 \lor \cdots \lor x = c_n)$$

Example

• The days of the week are: Monday, Tuesday, ..., Sunday.

 $\forall x (\mathsf{WeekDay}(x) \equiv x = \mathsf{Mon} \lor x = \mathsf{Tue} \lor \cdots \lor x = \mathsf{Sun})$

• The WorkingDays Monday, Tuesday, ..., Friday:

Luciano Serafini

 $\forall x (\mathsf{WorkingDay}(x) \equiv x = \mathsf{Mon} \lor x = \mathsf{Tue} \lor \cdots \lor x = \mathsf{Fri})$

Mathematical Logic

Infinite domain

Is it possible to write a (set of) formula(s) that are satisfied only by an interpretation with infinite domain

Theorem

Let $\phi_{inf-dom}$ be the formula:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \phi_{\textit{inf-dom}} &=& \forall x \neg R(x,x) \land \\ & & \forall x \forall y \forall z (R(x,y) \land R(y,z) \supset R(x,z)) \land \\ & & \forall x \exists y R(x,y) \end{array}$$

If $\mathcal{I} \models \phi_{inf-dom}$ then $|\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}| = \infty$.

Observe that:

- $\forall x \forall y \forall z (R(x, y) \land R(y, z) \supset R(x, z))$ represents the fact that *R* is interpreted in a transitive relation
- $\forall x \neg R(x, x)$ represents the fact that R is anti-reflexive

Proof.

Infinite domain

- By definition there is a d₀ ∈ Δ^T. Since *I* |= ∀*x*∃*yR*(*x*, *y*), there must be a d₁ ∈ Δ^T such that ⟨d₀, d₁⟩ ∈ *R^T*. For the same reason there must be a d₂ ∈ Δ^T, such that ⟨d₁, d₂⟩ ∈ *R^T*. And so on This means that there must be an infinite sequence d₀, d₁, d₂,... such that ⟨d_i, d_i, i₁⟩, for every *i* ≥ 0.
- Since $\mathcal{I} \models \forall x \forall y \forall z (R(x, y) \land R(y, z) \supset R(x, z))$, then for all $i < j, \langle d_i, d_j \rangle \in R^{\mathcal{I}}$.
- suppose, by contradiction, that |Δ^T| = k for some finite number k. This means there is an i, j with 0 ≤ i < j ≤ k + 1 such that d_i = d_j.
- The fact that ⟨d_i, d_j⟩ ∈ R^I implies that ⟨d_i, d_i⟩ ∈ R^I. But this contradicts the fact that I ⊨ ∀x¬R(x, x).