## Mathematical Logic 11. Modal Logics - relation with FOL

Luciano Serafini

FBK-IRST, Trento, Italy

September 18, 2013

## Kripke models and First order structures

- A Kripke model  $\mathcal{I}$  (as defined in the previous slides) is equal to the pair (F, V) where F is a frame (W, R) and V is a truth assignment  $V : \mathcal{P} \to 2^W$ .
- A Kripke model can be seen as a first order interpretation  $I_{FOL} = (\Delta^{I_{FOL}}, (,)^{I_{FOL}})$  of the following language:
  - a unary predicate P(x) for every proposition P ∈ P Indeed V associated to each P ∈ P a set of worlds;
  - the binary relation r(x, y) for the accessibility relation, which is a binary relation on the set of worlds.

Intuitively, P(x) represents the facts that P is true in the world x and r(x, y) represents the fact that the world y is accessible form the world x.

•  $\Delta^{I_{FOL}} = W$ , i.e., the domain of interpretation is the set of possible worlds.  $r^{I_{FOL}}$  is the accessibility relation R, and  $P^{\mathcal{I}}$  is equal to V(P).

## Modal formulas and First order formulas

- *I*, *w* ⊨ *P* means that *I* satisfies the atomic formula *P* in the world *w*. In the corresponding first order language, this can be expressed by the fact that *I<sub>FOL</sub>* ⊨ *P*(*x*)[*x* := *w*]
- *I*, *w* ⊨ *P* ∧ *Q* means that *I* satisfies the *P* ∧ *Q* in the world *w*. In the corresponding first order language, this can be expressed by the fact that *I<sub>FOL</sub>* ⊨ *P*(*x*) ∧ *Q*(*x*)[*x* := *w*]
- *I*, *w* ⊨ □*P* means that *I* satisfies *P* in all the worlds *w'* accessible from *w*. In the corresponding first order language, this can be expressed by the fact that *I<sub>FOL</sub>* ⊨ ∀*y*(*r*(*x*, *y*) ⊃ *P*(*y*))[*x* := *w*]
- *I*, w ⊨ ◊*P* means that *I* satisfies *P* in at least one world w' accessible from w. In the corresponding first order language, this can be expressed by the fact that *I<sub>FOL</sub>* ⊨ ∃y(r(x, y) ∧ P(y))[x := w]
- $I, w \models \Diamond \Box P$  means that there is a world w' accessible from w such that for all worlds w'' accessible from w' w'' satisfies P. In FOL this can be expressed by the following formula  $I_{FOL} \models \exists y(r(x, y) \land \forall z(r(y, z) \supset P(z)))$

# Standard translation of Modal formulas into First order formulas

$$ST^{x}(P) = P(x)$$
  

$$ST^{x}(A \circ B) = ST^{x}(A) \circ ST^{x}(B) \text{ with } o \in \{\land, \lor, \supset, \equiv\}$$
  

$$ST^{x}(\neg A) = \neg ST^{x}(A)$$
  

$$ST^{x}(\Box A) = \forall y(R(x, y) \supset ST^{y}(A))$$
  

$$ST^{x}(\Diamond A) = \exists y(R(x, y) \land ST^{y}(A))$$

#### Example

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{ST}^{\mathsf{x}}(\Box\Box P \land \Box \Diamond Q \supset \Box \Diamond (P \land Q)) \text{ is equal to} \\ & \forall y(r(x,y) \supset (\forall z(r(y,z) \supset P(z)))) \land \qquad \mathsf{ST}^{\mathsf{x}}(\Box\Box P) \\ & \forall y(r(x,y) \supset (\exists z(r(y,z) \land Q(z)))) \supset \qquad \mathsf{ST}^{\mathsf{x}}(\Box \Diamond Q) \\ & \forall y(r(x,y) \supset (\exists z(r(y,z) \land P(z) \land Q(z)))) \qquad \mathsf{ST}^{\mathsf{x}}(\Box \Diamond (P \land Q)) \end{aligned}$ 

## The standard translation

#### Theorem

If I = ((W, R), V) is a Kripke model,  $I_{FOL}$  the corresponding first order interpretation of the translated language, then, for every modal formula  $\phi$ 

$$I \models \phi$$
 if and only if  $I_{FOL} \models \forall x ST^{x}(\phi)$ 

#### Proof.

The proof is by induction on the complexity of  $\phi$ .

**Base case** Suppose that  $\phi$  is the atomic formula *P*.

$$I \models P \quad iff \quad \text{for all } w \in W, \ I, w \models P$$
$$iff \quad V(P) = W$$
$$iff \quad I_{FOL}(P) = \Delta^{I_{FOL}}$$
$$iff \quad I_{FOL} \models \forall x P(x)$$

# Relation between the expressivity of Logics

**Propositional Logic (Prop):** Propositional variables  $p_1, p_2, ...,$  and propositional connectives  $\land, \lor, \supset, \equiv$ , and  $\neg$ 

**Modal Logic (Mod)** = Prop + modal operators  $\Box$  and  $\Diamond$ 

**First-order logic (Fol)** = Prop + constants, function, and relations, and quantifiers  $\forall$  and  $\exists$ 

The following relations between the expressivity of the three logic above hold:

 $\textit{Prop} \subset \textit{Mod} \subset \textit{Fol}$ 

- every propositional formula is a formula of modal logic, but not viceversa. For instance □P does not have any correspondence in propositional logic.
- every modal formula can be translated under the standard translation into a first order formula with at most 2 variables. On the other hand there are first order formulas that cannot be translated back into modal formulas, for instance  $\forall xyz \ P(x, y, f(z))$  or  $\forall xy(P(x, y) \lor P(y, x))$ .