Reti di Calcolatori AA 2010/2011

http://disi.unitn.it/locigno/index.php/teaching-duties/computer-networks

Internet Routing

Renato Lo Cigno

Quest'opera è protetta dalla licenza:

Creative Commons Attribuzione-Non commerciale-Non opere derivate 2.5 Italia License

Per i dettagli, consultare*http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/it/*

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TRENTO

- Ξ Process of finding a path from a source to every destination in the network
- Ξ **Suppose you want to connect to Antarctica from your** desktop
	- **u** what route should you take?
	- does a shorter route exist?
	- П what if a link along the route goes down?
	- what if you're on a mobile wireless link?
- a. Routing deals with these types of issues

Basics

- F. **A** routing protocol sets up a routing table in routers
	- **n** internal table that says, for each destination, which is the next output to take
- F. ■ A node makes a local choice depending on global topology: this is the fundamental problem

5

ROUTING TABLE AT 1

- F. **How to make correct local decisions?**
	- **Example 20 Feach router must know something about global state**
- F. **Global state**
	- **n** inherently large
	- dynamic
	- **hard to collect**
- F. A routing protocol must intelligently summarize relevant information

- Ξ **Ninimize routing table space**
	- L. **E** fast to look up
	- **Lacks to exchange**
- Ξ **Minimize number and frequency of control messages**
- Ξ **Robustness: avoid**
	- **D** black holes
	- $\textcolor{red}{\bullet}$ loops
	- **oscillations**
- Ξ ■ Use optimal path

Different degrees of freedom

- Centralized vs. distributed routing
	- **EXT** centralized is simpler, but prone to failure and congestion
- Global vs local information exchange
	- **EX convey global information is expensive**
- \mathcal{A} Static vs dynamic
	- **static may work at the edge, not in the core**
- Stochastic vs. deterministic
	- stochastic spreads load, avoiding oscillations, but misorders
- Single vs. multiple path
	- **Phimary and alternative paths (compare with stochastic)**
- ~ 1 State-dependent vs. state-independent
	- do routes depend on current network state (e.g. delay)

- M. To ensure that all routers maintain information about how to reach each possible destination
	- **Example 2 router uses a route propagation protocol**
		- П **to exchange information with other routers**
	- **u** when it learns about changes in routes
		- E **updates the local routing table**
- Because routers exchange information periodically
	- **•** the local routing table is updated continuously

Interplay between routing, forwarding

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TRENTO

Graph: $G = (N, E)$

 $N = set of routers = \{ u, v, w, x, y, z \}$

E = set of links ={ (u,v) , (u,x) , (v,x) , (v,w) , (x,w) , (x,y) , (w,y) , (w,z) , (y,z) }

Graph abstraction: costs

$$
\cdot c(x,x') = \text{cost of link } (x,x')
$$

- e.g.,
$$
c(w,z) = 5
$$

• cost could always be 1, or inversely related to bandwidth, or inversely related to congestion

Cost of path
$$
(x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_p) = c(x_1, x_2) + c(x_2, x_3) + ... + c(x_{p-1}, x_p)
$$

Question: What's the least-cost path between u and z ?

Routing algorithm: algorithm that finds least-cost path

locigno@disi.unitn.itt and \sim 12

Consistency criterion

Define

 $c(i,k) := \text{cost from } i$ to k (direct connection)

 $D(i,j) := \text{cost of least-cost path from } i$ to j

- \rightarrow The subset of a shortest path is also the shortest path between the two intermediate nodes intermediate nodes
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Then, if the shortest path from node i to node j, with distance D(i,j), passes through neighbor k , with link cost $c(i,k)$, we have:
	- **D**(i,j) = c(i,k) + D(k,j)

Distance Vector (DV) algorithm

- $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ Initial distance values (iteration 1):
	- $D(i,i) = 0;$
	- П $D(i,k) = C(i,k)$ if k is a neighbor (i.e. k is one-hop away); and
	- $D(i,j) = INFINITE$ for all other non-neighbors j.
- a. Note that the set of values $D(i,*)$ is a distance vector at node i.
- a. **The algorithm also maintains a next-hop value (forwarding** table) for every destination j, initialized as:
	- next-hop(i) = i;
	- L. next-hop(k) = k if k is a neighbor, and
	- П next-hop(j) = UNKNOWN if j is a non-neighbor.

Distance Vector (DV) algorithm

- F. After every iteration each node i exchanges its distance vectors D(i,*) with its immediate neighbors.
- F. For any neighbor k, if $c(i,k) + D(k,j) < D(i,j)$, then:
	- **D**(i,j) = c(i,k) + D(k,j)
	- \mathbf{r} $next-hop(j) = k$

Basic idea:

F. **From time-to-time, each node sends its own distance vector** estimate to neighbors

Asynchronous

- **Nhen a node x receives new DV estimate from neighbor, it** updates its own DV using B-F equation: $D(x,y) \leftarrow min_{y} {c(x,y) + D(y,y)}$ for each node y $\in N$
- F. **Under minor, natural conditions, the estimate** $D(x,y)$ converges to the actual least cost

In summary

- M. Iterative, asynchronous: each local iteration caused by:
	- **Deal link cost change**
	- ٠ DV update message from neighbor
- **Distributed:**
	- each node notifies neighbors only when its DV changes
		- ٠ **neighbors then notify** their neighbors if necessary

Each node:

Distance Vector: example (starting point)

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TRENTO

Distance Vector: example (running)

Distance Vector: example (running)

Distance Vector: example (final point)

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TRENTO

Problem: "counting to infinity"

Ξ

- **Consider the** entries in each routing table for network NTW_1
- Ξ **Router D is directly** connected to NTW_1

Problem: "counting to infinity"

time

Problem: "counting to infinity"

time

Router D

dir $\begin{vmatrix} 1 \end{vmatrix}$

Next | Metric

NTW_1

Dest

locigno@disi.unitn.it

B

¹

1

D

NTW_1

C

1

10

Solution to "counting to infinity"

- $\overline{}$ Maximum number of hops bounded to 15
	- **This limits the convergence time**
- T. **Split Horizon**
	- **s** simple
		- ▉ each node *omits* routes learned from one neighbor in update sent to that neighbor
	- **u** with poisoned reverse
		- ▉ each node *include* routes learned from one neighbor in update sent to that neighbor, setting their metrics to infinity
			- drawback: routing message size greater than simple Split Horizon

Distance Vector: link cost changes

- F. If link cost changes:
	- ٠ **good news travels fast**
		- E good = $cost$ decreases
	- **bad news travels slow**
		- \Box bad = cost increa \blacksquare bad = cost increases
- **Exercise**
	- try to apply the algorithm in the simple scenario depicted abovewhen
		- E ■ the cost of the link $A \rightarrow B$ changes from 4 to 1
		- E **the cost of the link A** \rightarrow **B changes from 4 to 60**

RIP at a glance

- F. **^R**outing **I**nformation **P**rotocol
- F. A simple intradomain protocol
- F. Straightforward implementation of Distance Vector Routing…
	- ∎ Distrit Distributed version of Bellman-Ford (DBF)
	- …with well known issues
	- **slow convergence**
	- works with limited network size
- Strengths
	- **simple to implement**
	- **simple management**
	- ٠ widespread use

RIP at a glance

- F. **Netric based on hop count**
	- maximum hop count is 15, with "16" equal to "∞"
		- E **Iomal imposed to limit the convergence time**
	- the network administrator can also assign values higher than 1 to a single hop
- F. ■ Each router advertises its distance vector every 30 seconds (or whenever its routing table changes) to all of its neighbors
	- ▔ **RIP uses UDP, port 520, for sending messages**
- m. Changes are propagated across network
- F. Routes are timeout (set to 16) after 3 minutes if they are not updated

RIP: Message Format

- ш Command: 1=request 2=response
	- **Updates are replies whether asked** Ē. for or not
	- Initializing node broadcasts request
	- Requests are replied to immediately
- П Version: 1
- ш Address family: 2 for IP
- **IP address: non-zero network** ш portion, zero host portion
	- **EXECO** Identifies particular network
- П Metric
	- **Path distance from this router to** network
	- Typically 1, so metric is hop count

RIP procedures: introduction

- \mathcal{C} RIP routing tables are managed by application-level process
	- e.g.*, routed* on UNIX machines
- **Advertisements are sent in UDP packets (port 520)**
- M. RIP maintains 3 different timers to support its operations
	- Periodic update timer (25-30 sec)
		- **used to sent out update messages**
	- Invalid timer (180 sec)
		- If update for a particular entry is not received for 180 sec, route is invalidated
	- Garbage collection timer (120 sec)
		- An invalid route in marked, not immediately deleted
		- For next 120 s. the router advertises this route with distance infinity

RIP procedures: input processing

- F. Request Messages
	- ٠ **they may arrive from routers which have just come up**
	- **action: the router responds directly to the requestor's address and** port
		- П **Exercise** request is processed entry by entry
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Response Messages
	- \blacksquare they may arrive from routers that perform regular updates, triggered updates or respond to a specific query
	- action: the router updates its routing table
		- П **n** in case of new route or changed routes, the router starts a triggered update procedure

RIP procedures: output processing

- Output are generated
	- **•** when the router comes up in the network
	- **if required by the input processing procedures** ▉
	- **by regular routing update**
- Action: the router generates the messages according to the commands
received M.
	- **the messages contain entries from the routing table** П

Link State (LS) Approach

- The link state (Dijkstra) approach is iterative, but it pivots around destinations j, and their predecessors $k = p(j)$
	- a. Observe that an alternative version of the consistency condition holds for this case: $D(i,j) = D(i,k) + c(k,j)$

M. Each node i collects all link states $c(*,*)$ first and runs the complete Dijkstra algorithm locally.

Link State (LS) Approach…

- After each iteration, the algorithm finds a new destination node j and a shortest path to it.
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ After m iterations the algorithm has explored paths, which are m hops or smaller from node i.
	- **IT has an m-hop view of the network just like the distance-vector approach**
- $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$ The Dijkstra algorithm at node i maintains two sets:
	- set N that contains nodes to which the shortest paths have been found so far, and
	- \blacksquare set M that contains all other nodes.
	- **For all nodes k, two values are maintained:**
		- \blacksquare D(i,k): current value of distance from i to k.
		- $p(k)$: the predecessor node to k on the shortest known path from i

Dijkstra: Initialization

- F. Initialization:
	- **D**(i,i) = 0 and $p(i) = i$;
	- ▔ **D**(i,k) = c(i,k) and p(k) = i if k is a neighbor of I
	- ▔ $D(i,k) = INFINITE$ and $p(k) = UNKNOWN$ if k is not a neighbor of I
	- Set $N = \{ i \}$, and next-hop $(i) = I$
	- Set $M = \{ j | j \text{ is not } i \}$
- F. Initially set N has only the node i and set M has the rest of the nodes.
- At the end of the algorithm, the set N contains all the nodes, and set M is empty

Dijkstra: Iteration

In each iteration, a new node j is moved from set M into the set N.

- Node j has the minimum distance among all current nodes in M, i.e. $D(i,j) =$ min {l ε M} D(i,l).
- **If multiple nodes have the same minimum distance, any one of them is** chosen as j.
- Next-hop(j) = the neighbor of i on the shortest path
	- **Next-hop(j) = next-hop(p(j))** if $p(j)$ is not i
	- Next-hop(j) = j if p(j) = i
- Now, in addition, the distance values of any neighbor k of j in set M is reset as:
	- If $D(i,k) < D(i,j) + C(j,k)$, then

 $D(i,k) = D(i,j) + C(j,k)$, and $p(k) = j$.

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ This operation is called "relaxing" the edges of node j.

Dijkstra's algorithm: example

The shortest-paths spanning tree rooted at A is called an SPF-tree

locigno@disi.unitn.it

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TRENTO

Dijkstra's algorithm, discussion

Algorithm complexity: n nodes

- M. each iteration: need to check all nodes, w, not in N
- П $n(n+1)/2$ comparisons: $O(n^2)$
- more efficient implementations possible: O(n log(n))

Oscillations possible:

П e.g., link $cost =$ amount of carried traffic

Summary: Distributed Routing Techniques

Link State

- П Topology information is flooded within the routing domain
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Best end-to-end paths are computed locally at each router.
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Best end-to-end paths determine next-hops.
- П Based on minimizing some notion of distance
- Works only if policy is shared and uniform
- **Examples: OSPF** M.

Distance Vector

- Each router knows little about network topology
- **Diam** Only best next-hops are chosen by each router for each destination network.
- Best end-to-end paths result from composition of all next-hop choices
- F. Does not require any notion of distance
- Does not require uniform policies at all routers
- M. Examples: RIP

Comparison of LS and DV algorithms

Message complexity

- **LS:** with n nodes, E links, $O(nE)$ M. msgs sent
- M. DV: exchange between neighbors only
	- **CONVERGENCE LIME Varies**

Speed of Convergence

- \blacksquare LS: $O(n2)$ algorithm requires П O(nE) msgs
	- **n** may have oscillations
- **DV:** convergence time varies
	- **n** may be routing loops
	- **EXECOUNT-to-infinity problem**

Robustness: what happens if router malfunctions?

- LS:
	- node can advertise incorrect link cost
	- \Box each node computes only its own table
- DV:
	- \Box DV node can advertise incorrect path cost
	- \blacksquare each node's table used by others
		- **Exercise repropagate thru** network

Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)

- In alternativa al protocollo RIP di tipo Distance Vector in Internet esiste il protocollo OSPF di tipo Link State
- $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ I tre principali criteri di progettazione del protocollo OSPF sono:
	- **distinzione tra host e router**
- reti broadcast
	- **Familie 1965**
Fauddivisione s
	- suddivisione delle reti di grandi dimensioni
- $\overline{\mathbb{R}^2}$ Hli host sono collocati nelle aree periferiche della rete a sottoreti locali connesse alla attraverso router (default gateway)
- Il modello link state prevede che il database *link state* includa una entry per ogni link tra host e router
- OSPF associa il link di accesso ad una stub network
	- ▉ una stub network è una sottorete terminale che non fornisce servizio di transito
	- il link di accesso viene identificato dall'indirizzo della sottorete

Configurazione fisica

Il protocollo OSPF

- F. Il protocollo OSPF utilizza 3 procedure, chiamati ancora
internali⁷ per svolgere le proprie funzioni `protocolli', per svolgere le proprie funzioni
	- **Rello Protocol**
	- **Exchange Protocol**
	- **Flooding Protocol**

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ I messaggi OSPF sono trasportati direttamente all'interno dei pacchetti IP

- \mathbf{r} non viene utilizzato il livello di trasporto
- ▉ nelle reti broadcast biene usato un indirizzo multicast
- $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ Tutti i messaggi OSPF condividono lo stesso header

Messaggi OSPF (2)

- Version $# = 2$
- **Type: indica il tipo di messaggio**
- Dacket Length: numero di byto q \mathcal{L}
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Packet Length: numero di byte del messaggio
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Router ID: indirizzo IP del router di riferimento

Messaggi OSPF (3)

- П Area ID: identificativo dell'area
	- \mathbf{r} 0 per la Bacvbone area
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Auth Type: tipo di autenticazione
	- 0 no autenticazione, 1 autenticazione con passwd
uthentication: nasswerd
- $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ Authentication: password

Il protocollo Hello

- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Funzioni:
	- **·** verificare l'operatività dei link
1eccasa:
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Messaggi:
	- Ē. Hello

locigno@disi.unitn.itt to the contract of \sim 50 \sim 50 \sim 50 \sim

Hello Protocol: formato pacchetto (3)

 $\overline{}$ Neighbor: lista di nodi adiacenti da cui ha ricevuto un messaggio di Hello negli ultimi **dead interval** secondi

Il protocollo Exchange

- F. Funzioni:
	- sincronizzazione dei database link state (bring up adjacencies) tra due router che hanno appena verificato l'operatività bidirezionale del link che li connette
	- **protocollo client-server**
messaggiu
	- messaggi:
		- П **Database Description Packets**
		- П **Link State Request**
		- П **Link State Update**
	- ٠ N.B. il messaggio Link State Update viene distribuito in flooding

Exchange Protocol: messaggi (1)

F. **Database Description**

Exchange Protocol: messaggi (2)

Link State Request

 $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ Link state Update

> *Number of link state advertisementLink state advertisement #1Link state advertisement #2Common header (type = 4, link state update)*

Il protocollo di Flooding

- M. Funzioni:
	- aggiornare il database link state dell'autonomous system a seguito del cambiamento di stato di un link
	- ▔ Garantisce la consegna di tutti I messaggi a tutti, a costo di parecchie repliche
- F. **Ressaggi:**
	- **Link State Update**

Common header (type = 4, link state update)

Number of link state advertisement

Link state advertisement #1

Link state advertisement #2